

ON EXACT GROUP EXTENSIONS*

By JON AARONSON
Tel Aviv University, Israel
 and
 MANFRED DENKER
Universität Göttingen, Germany

SUMMARY. We give conditions for the exactness of \mathbb{R}^d -extensions.

0. Introduction

A *fibred system* $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is a nonsingular transformation (X, \mathcal{B}, m, T) of a standard probability space equipped with a countable, measurable partition $\alpha \subset \mathcal{B}$, generating \mathcal{B} (in the sense that $\sigma(\{T^{-n}\alpha : n \geq 0\}) = \mathcal{B}$) such that $T : \alpha \rightarrow T\alpha$ is invertible, nonsingular for $a \in \alpha$. A fibred system $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is called a *Markov map* (or Markov fibred system) if $Ta \in \sigma(\alpha) \pmod{m} \forall a \in \alpha$.

Write $\alpha = \{a_s : s \in S\}$ and endow $S^{\mathbb{N}}$ with its canonical (Polish) product topology. Let

$$\Sigma = \left\{ s = (s_1, s_2, \dots) \in S^{\mathbb{N}} : m\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n T^{-k} a_{s_k}\right) > 0 \quad \forall n \geq 1 \right\},$$

then Σ is a closed, shift invariant subset of $S^{\mathbb{N}}$, and there is a measurable map $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ defined by $\{\phi(s_1, s_2, \dots)\} := \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} T^{-(k-1)} a_{s_k}$.

The closed support of the probability $m' = m \circ \phi^{-1}$ is Σ , and ϕ is a conjugacy of (X, \mathcal{B}, m, T) with $(\Sigma, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma), m', \text{shift})$. Thus we may, and sometimes do, assume that $X = \Sigma$, T is the shift, and $\alpha = \{[s] : s \in S\}$.

For $n \geq 1$, there are m -nonsingular inverse branches of T denoted $v_a : T^n a \rightarrow a$ and defined by $v_a(x) := (a, x)$ ($a \in \alpha_0^{n-1}$) with Radon Nikodym derivatives denoted

$$v'_a := \frac{dm \circ v_a}{dm}.$$

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, m, R) be a nonsingular transformation of a standard probability space.

AMS (1991) subject classification. Primary 28D05, 60B15; secondary 58F15, 58F19, 58F30.

Key words and phrases. Skew product, group extension, exactness, Gibbs-Markov map, transfer operator.

*Dedicated to Professor M.G. Nadkarni.

The *Frobenius-Perron* operators $P_{R^n} = P_{R^n, m} : L^1(m) \rightarrow L^1(m)$ are defined by

$$\int_X P_{R^n} f \cdot g dm = \int_X f \cdot g \circ R^n dm$$

and for the fibred system $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ (as above) have the form

$$P_{T^n} f = \sum_{a \in \alpha_0^{n-1}} 1_{T^n a} v'_a \cdot f \circ v_a.$$

A fibred system $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ has the *Renyi property*: if $\exists C > 1$ such that $\forall n \geq 1, a \in \alpha_0^{n-1}, m(a) > 0$,

$$\left| \frac{v'_a(x)}{v'_a(y)} \right| \leq C \text{ for } m \times m\text{-a.e. } (x, y) \in T^n a \times T^n a.$$

It is well known (a proof is recalled in Aaronson, *et al.* (1993)) that any topologically mixing probability preserving Markov map with the Renyi property is *exact* in the sense that $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} T^{-n} \mathcal{B} = \{\emptyset, X\} \pmod m$.

Examples include:

- topological Markov shifts equipped with Gibbs measures (Bowen (1973), Bowen and Ruelle (1975)) and
- uniformly expanding, piecewise onto C^2 interval maps $T : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying Adler's condition $\sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \frac{|T''(x)|}{|T'(x)|^2} < \infty$ (Adler (1973));

or, generalising the above two examples:

- Gibbs-Markov maps as in (Aaronson and Denker (1996)), the Markov map $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ being called *Gibbs-Markov* if it has the *Gibbs property* that $\exists C > 1, 0 < r < 1$ such that $\forall n \geq 1, a \in \alpha_0^{n-1}, m(a) > 0$: $\left| \frac{v'_a(x)}{v'_a(y)} - 1 \right| \leq Cr^{t(x,y)}$ for $m \times m$ -a.e. $(x, y) \in T^n a \times T^n a$, (see §4.6, §4.7 of Aaronson (1997)); and the *big image property* that $\inf_{a \in \alpha} m(Ta) > 0$.

Now let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be measurable and consider the skew product $T_\phi : X \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{R}^d$ defined by $T_\phi(x, y) := (Tx, y + \phi(x))$ with respect to the (invariant) product measure $m \times m_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ where $m_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ denotes Lebesgue measure. We say that ϕ is *aperiodic* if $\gamma(\phi) = z\bar{h}h \circ T$ has no nontrivial solution in $\gamma \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}^d, z \in S^1$ and $h : X \rightarrow S^1$ measurable. It is not hard to show that if T_ϕ is ergodic, and T is weakly mixing, then T_ϕ is weakly mixing iff ϕ is aperiodic.

We are interested in the exactness of T_ϕ . We establish two (partial) results in this direction.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is a probability preserving Markov map with the Renyi property. Let $N \geq 1$ and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be α_0^{N-1} -measurable (i.e. $\phi(x) = \phi(\alpha_0^{N-1}(x))$ where $x \in \alpha_0^{N-1}(x) \in \alpha_0^{N-1}$).*

If T_ϕ is topologically mixing, then T_ϕ is exact.

For the other result, we assume that $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is an exact probability preserving fibred system with the property that there is a Banach space $(L, \|\cdot\|_L)$ of functions with $\|\cdot\|_2 \leq \|\cdot\|_L$, such that $P_T : L \rightarrow L$ and $\exists H > 0, 0 < r < 1, N \geq 1$ such that

$$\|P_{T^N} f\|_L \leq r\|f\|_L + H\|f\|_1 \quad \forall f \in L.$$

In this case (see Doeblin and Fortet (1937), Ionescu-Tulcea and Marinescu (1950)) $\exists M > 0, \theta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|P_{T^n} f - \int_X f dm\|_L \leq M\theta^n \|f\|_L \quad \forall f \in L.$$

Given $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable, we define the *characteristic function operators* $P_t(f) = P_T(e^{i\langle t, \phi \rangle} f)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). We assume also that $P_t : L \rightarrow L$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) and that $t \mapsto P_t$ is continuous $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L, L)$. It is shown in Nagaev (1957) (see also Theorem 4.1 of Aaronson and Denker (1996)) that

- (i) there are constants $\epsilon > 0, K > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$; and continuous functions $\lambda : B(0, \epsilon) \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1), g : B(0, \epsilon) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L, L)$ such that for $t \in B(0, \epsilon) :$
 $g(t)$ is a projection, $\dim g(t)L = 1, P_t g(t) = \lambda(t)g(t), \lambda(0) = 1, g(0)h = \int_X h dm$ and

$$\|P_t^n h - \lambda(t)^n g(t)h\|_L \leq K\theta^n \|h\|_L \quad \forall |t| < \epsilon, n \geq 1, h \in L;$$

- (ii) if $\gamma(\phi) = z\bar{h}h \circ T$ where $\gamma \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}^d, z \in S^1$ and $h : X \rightarrow S^1$ measurable, then $h \in L$; and
- (iii) in case ϕ is aperiodic, then $\forall 0 < \delta < M < \infty, \exists K > 0, 0 < \rho < 1$ such that

$$\|P_\gamma^n h\|_L \leq K\rho^n \quad \forall h \in L, n \geq 1, \delta \leq |\gamma| \leq M.$$

Examples include:

- (see Aaronson and Denker (1996)) $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ a Gibbs-Markov map and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ uniformly Hölder continuous on partition sets. Here L is a space of Hölder continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
- (see Rousseau-Egele (1983) and Rychlik (1983)) $X = [0, 1], m$ Lebesgue measure, α a partition of $X \text{ mod } m$ into open intervals, and $T : a \rightarrow Ta$ an invertible, m -nonsingular homeomorphism for each $a \in \alpha$ with $\inf |T'| > 1$ and $\frac{1}{T'}$ of bounded variation on X ; and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ either: of bounded variation on X ; or constant on each $a \in \alpha$. Here L is the space of functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of bounded variation on X .

Set $\phi_n = \phi + \phi \circ T + \dots + \phi \circ T^{n-1}$.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that*

$$\forall \lambda > 1 \exists n_k \rightarrow \infty \text{ such that } \frac{\phi_{n_k}}{\lambda^{n_k}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e. as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (1)$$

and that ϕ is aperiodic; then T_ϕ is exact.

REMARKS.

1. Theorem 2 generalises the corresponding theorem on page 443 in Guivarc'h (1989).
2. The condition (1) is satisfied if m -dist(ϕ) is in the domain of attraction of a stable law.
3. The condition (1) is not satisfied iff $\exists \lambda > 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $m(|\phi_n| > \lambda^n) \geq \epsilon \forall n \geq 1$ and there are independent processes like this.
4. For examples satisfying the assumptions of the theorems, let $X = [0, 1]$, $Tx = \{\frac{1}{x}\}$, then T is a piecewise onto C^2 interval map with Markov partition $\alpha = \{I_n = (\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}] : n \geq 1\}$. The invariant probability is Gauss' measure $dm(x) := \frac{1}{\log 2} \frac{dx}{1+x}$. Since T^2 is uniformly expanding and satisfies Adler's condition, we have (passing to the Polish product topology induced by α) that $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ has the Gibbs property, whence (T is piecewise onto) the Renyi property and is Gibbs-Markov.

It is not hard to show that if $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is constant on each I_n , takes the value 0 and the semigroup generated by the values of ϕ is dense in \mathbb{R} , then T_ϕ is topologically mixing and therefore exact by Theorem 1. Such functions $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are aperiodic by corollary 3.2 of (Aaronson and Denker (1996)), and so the exactness of T_ϕ is also established by Theorem 2. On the other hand, if $\phi(x) = \log[\frac{1}{x}]$ then T_ϕ is not topologically mixing (since $\phi \geq 0$). Nevertheless, ϕ is aperiodic by corollary 3.2 of (Aaronson and Denker (1996)), and so T_ϕ is exact by Theorem 2 (but totally dissipative).

1. Frobenius-Perron Operators, Exactness and Relative Exactness

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, m, R) be a nonsingular transformation of a standard probability space. The tail σ -algebra of (X, \mathcal{B}, m, R) is $\mathcal{T}(R) := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} R^{-n}\mathcal{B}$ and the nonsingular transformation R is called *exact* if $\mathcal{T}(R) = \{\emptyset, X\} \text{ mod } m$.

THEOREM 3 (Derriennic and Lin (1984)).

$$\|P_{R^n} f\|_1 \rightarrow \|E(f|\mathcal{T}(R))\|_1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall f \in L^1(m).$$

In particular (see Lin (1971)), R is exact if and only if $\|P_{R^n} f\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall f \in L^1(m)$, $\int_X f dm = 0$.

PROOF. First note that $|P_T f| \leq P_T |f|$ whence $\|P_{R^n} f\|_1 \downarrow$ and $\exists \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{R^n} f\|_1$. Next, $\forall n \geq 1 \exists g_n \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B})$ with $\int_X (P_{R^n} f) g_n dm = \|P_{R^n} f\|_1$, whence

$$\|P_{R^n} f\|_1 = \int_X f g_n \circ R^n dm.$$

By weak-* compactness, $\exists n_k \rightarrow \infty$ and $g \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B})$ such that $g_{n_k} \circ R^{n_k} \rightarrow g$ weak-* in $L^\infty(\mathcal{B})$. It follows that $g \in L^\infty(\mathcal{T}(R))$, $\|g\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{R^n} f\|_1 = \int_X f g dm$. Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{R^n} f\|_1 \leq \sup \left\{ \int_X f h dm : h \in L^\infty(\mathcal{T}(R)), \|h\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\} = \|E(f|\mathcal{T}(R))\|_1.$$

To show the converse inequality, note that $\exists g \in L^\infty(\mathcal{T}(R))$, $\|g\|_\infty = 1$ such that

$$\|E(f|\mathcal{T}(R))\|_1 = \int_X E(f|\mathcal{T}(R)) g dm = \int_X f g dm$$

whence $\forall n \geq 1, \exists g_n \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}), g = g_n \circ R^n$ and

$$\|E(f|\mathcal{T}(R))\|_1 = \int_X f g dm = \int_X f g_n \circ R^n dm = \int_X (P_{R^n} f) g_n dm \leq \|P_{R^n} f\|_1.$$

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, m, R) and (Y, \mathcal{C}, μ, S) be nonsingular transformations of standard probability spaces. A *factor map* is a function $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$, $\pi \circ T = S \circ \pi$, $m \circ \pi^{-1} = \mu$. The *fibre expectation* of the factor map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is an operator □

$$f \mapsto E(f|\pi), L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, m) \rightarrow L^1(Y, \mathcal{C}, \mu)$$

defined by $\int_Y E(f|\pi) g d\mu = \int_X f g \circ \pi dm$. The factor map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *relatively exact* if

$$f \in L^1(\mathcal{B}), E(f|\pi) = 0 \text{ a.e.} \implies \|P_{R^n} f\|_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

The corollary below appears in (Guivarc'h (1989)). For the convenience of the reader, we supply a (possibly different) proof.

PROPOSITION 4. *Suppose that $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is relatively exact, then $\mathcal{T}(R) = \pi^{-1}\mathcal{T}(S) \text{ mod } m$.*

PROOF. Evidently, $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{T}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(R)$. We show that $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{T}(S) \supseteq \mathcal{T}(R)$. By relative exactness and Theorem 3, if $f \in L^1(\mathcal{B})$ and $E(f|\pi) = 0$ a.e., then $\int_X f g dm = 0 \forall g \in L^\infty(\mathcal{T}(R))$. Thus if $f \in L^2(\mathcal{B}) \ominus L^2(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{C})$, then $E(f|\pi) = 0$ a.e. and so

$$\int_X f g dm = 0 \forall g \in L^\infty(\mathcal{T}(R)), \implies f \perp L^2(\mathcal{T}(R)).$$

Thus $L^2(\mathcal{B}) \ominus L^2(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{C}) \subset L^2(\mathcal{B}) \ominus L^2(\mathcal{T}(R))$ whence $L^2(\mathcal{T}(R)) \subset L^2(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{T}(R) \subset \pi^{-1}\mathcal{C} \pmod m$. To see that in fact $\mathcal{T}(R) \subseteq \pi^{-1}\mathcal{T}(S) \pmod m$, fix $N \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(R) &= \bigcap_{n \geq 1} R^{-n}\mathcal{B} = \bigcap_{n \geq N+1} R^{-n}\mathcal{B} \\ &= R^{-N}\mathcal{T}(R) \subset R^{-N}\pi^{-1}\mathcal{C} = \pi^{-1}S^{-N}\mathcal{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the intersection over N shows the claim. □

COROLLARY 5 (Guivarc’h (1989), proposition 1). *If S is exact and $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is relatively exact, then T is exact.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1

For a nonsingular transformation (X, \mathcal{B}, m, R) , define the *tail relation* of R :

$$\mathfrak{T}(R) := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \exists n \geq 0, R^n x = R^n y\}.$$

Evidently $\mathfrak{T}(R)$ is an equivalence relation and if (X, \mathcal{B}, m) is standard, then $\mathfrak{T}(R) \in \mathcal{B}(X \times X)$. If R is locally invertible, then $\mathfrak{T}(R)$ has countable equivalence classes and is nonsingular in the sense that $m(\mathfrak{T}(R)(A)) = 0 \forall A \in \mathcal{B}, m(A) = 0$ where $\mathfrak{T}(R)(A) := \{y \in X : \exists x \in A (x, y) \in \mathfrak{T}(R)\}$. A set $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is *invariant* under the equivalence relation $\mathfrak{T} \in \mathcal{B}(X \times X)$ if $\mathfrak{T}(A) = A$ and the equivalence relation \mathfrak{T} is called *ergodic* if \mathfrak{T} -invariant sets have either zero, or full measure. The collection of invariant sets under $\mathfrak{T}(R)$ is the tail σ -algebra $\mathcal{T}(R)$ (whence the name "tail relation").

In order to prove Theorem 1, it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{T}(T_\phi)$ is ergodic. The tail relation of T_ϕ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{T}(T_\phi) &= \{((x, s), (y, t)) \in (X \times G)^2 : \exists n \geq 0, T^n x = T^n y, s - t = \phi_n(y) - \phi_n(x)\} \\ &= \{((x, s), (y, t)) \in (X \times G)^2 : (x, y) \in \mathfrak{T}(T), \tilde{\phi}(x, y) = s - t\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\phi} : \mathfrak{T}(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined by $\tilde{\phi}(x, y) := \sum_{n=0}^\infty (\phi(T^n y) - \phi(T^n x))$. We prove that $\mathfrak{T}(T_\phi)$ is ergodic by the method of Schmidt (explained in Schmidt (1977)), by showing that $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^d, U$ a neighbourhood of t and $A \in \mathcal{B} m(A) > 0, \exists B \in \mathcal{B} B \subset A$ and $\tau : B \rightarrow B$ nonsingular such that $(x, \tau(x)) \in \mathfrak{T}(T)$ and $\tilde{\phi}(x, \tau(x)) \in U \forall x \in B$.

This boils down to showing that $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}_+, g_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\eta > 0, \exists B \in \mathcal{B}_+, B \subset A, n \geq 1$ and $\tau : B \rightarrow \tau B \subset A$ nonsingular such that

$$T^n \circ \tau \equiv T^n \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi_n \circ \tau - \phi_n - g_0\| < \eta \quad \text{on } B. \tag{2}$$

The proof of (2) will be written as a sequence of minor claims, ¶0, ¶1, . . .

¶0 We first claim that there is no loss in generality in assuming that $N = 1$ (i.e. that $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is α -measurable). This is because $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha_0^{N-1})$ is also a probability preserving Markov map with the Renyi property and inducing the same (shift) topology on X as $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$.

¶1 $\forall s, t \in S, \exists \kappa = \kappa_{s,t} \geq 1$ and $a = a_{s,t} = [a_1, \dots, a_\kappa], b = b_{s,t} = [b_1, \dots, b_\kappa] \in \alpha_0^{\kappa-1}, a_1 = b_1 = s, a_\kappa = b_\kappa = t$ such that $\|\phi_\kappa(b) - \phi_\kappa(a) - g_0\| < \eta$. This follows from topological mixing of T_ϕ . By the Renyi property, $\exists M > 1$ such that

$$M^{-1}m(u)m(v) \leq m(u \cap T^{-k}v) \leq Mm(u)m(v) \quad \forall u \in \alpha_0^{k-1}, v \in \alpha_0^{\ell-1}, [v_1] \subset T[u_k].$$

Given $u = [u_1, \dots, u_n] \in \alpha_0^{n-1}$ with $u_n = t$, define $\tau = \tau_u : u \cap T^{-n}a \rightarrow u \cap T^{-n}b$ by

$$\tau(u_1, \dots, u_n, a_1, \dots, a_\kappa, y) := \tau(u_1, \dots, u_n, b_1, \dots, b_\kappa, y).$$

¶2 $\tau = \tau_u : u \cap T^{-n}a \rightarrow u \cap T^{-n}b$ is invertible nonsingular and $\frac{dm \circ \tau}{dm} = M^{\pm 4} \frac{m(b)}{m(a)}$.

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{u \cap T^{-n}a \cap c} \frac{dm \circ \tau}{dm} dm &= m(u \cap T^{-n}b \cap c) \\ &= M^{\pm 2} \frac{m(b)}{m(a)} m(u)m(b)m(c) \\ &= M^{\pm 4} \frac{m(b)}{m(a)} m(u \cap T^{-n}a \cap c). \end{aligned}$$

□

¶3 PROOF OF (2). Fix $0 < \epsilon < M^{-1} \min \{m(a_{s,t}), m(b_{s,t})\}$; then

$$m(u \cap T^{-n}a_{s,t}), m(u \cap T^{-n}b_{s,t}) \geq \epsilon m(u) \quad \forall u \in \alpha_0^{n-1}, [s] \subset T[u_n].$$

Let $\delta > 0$ be so small that $\delta < \frac{m(b)(\epsilon - \delta)}{M^4 m(a)}$. $\exists n \geq 1$ and $u \in \alpha_0^{n-1}$ such that $m(A \cap u) \geq (1 - \delta)m(u)$ and $[s] \subset T[u_n]$. Consider $\tau_u : u \cap T^{-n}a \rightarrow u \cap T^{-n}b$ as in ¶2. Evidently $T^{n+\kappa} \circ \tau \equiv T^{n+\kappa}$ and $\|\phi_{n+\kappa} \circ \tau - \phi_{n+\kappa} - g_0\| < \eta$ on $u \cap T^{-n}a$.

To complete the proof we claim that $\exists B \in \mathcal{B}_+, B \subset A \cap u \cap T^{-n}a$ such that $\tau B \subset A$. To see this, note that

$$m(u \cap T^{-n}a \cap A) \geq m(u \cap T^{-n}a) - m(u \setminus A) \geq (\epsilon - \delta)m(u),$$

whence using ¶2,

$$m(\tau(u \cap T^{-n}a \cap A)) \geq \frac{m(b)}{M^4 m(a)} m(u \cap T^{-n}a \cap A) \geq \frac{m(b)(\epsilon - \delta)}{M^4 m(a)} m(u).$$

Since $\tau(u \cap T^{-n}a \cap A) \subset u$, the condition on $\delta > 0$ ensures that $m(\tau(u \cap T^{-n}a \cap A) \cap A) > 0$ whence $m(B) > 0$ where $B := \tau^{-1} \left(\tau(u \cap T^{-n}a \cap A) \cap A \right) \subset A$.

□

3. Proof of Theorem 2

We prove Theorem 2 via Corollary 5. To do this, we must consider T_ϕ as a nonsingular transformation with respect to some probability $P \sim m \times m_{\mathbb{R}^d}$.

Let $p : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be continuous with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(y)dy = 1$ and define a probability P on $X \times \mathbb{R}^d$ by $dP(x, y) := p(y)dm(x)dy$; then $(X \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(X \times \mathbb{R}^d), P, T_\phi)$ is a nonsingular transformation with Frobenius-Perron operators given by

$$P_{T_\phi, P}f(x, y) = \frac{1}{p(y)}P_{T_\phi^n}(f \cdot 1 \otimes p)(x, y)$$

where $P_{T_\phi^n} := P_{T_\phi^n, m \times m_{\mathbb{R}^d}}$. Consider the map $\pi : X \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow X$ defined by $\pi(x, y) = x$. This is a factor map as it satisfies $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{B}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(X \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\pi \circ T_\phi = T \circ \pi$, $P \circ \pi^{-1} = m$. The fibre expectation of π is given by

$$E(f|\pi)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x, y)p(y)dy \quad (f \in L^1(X \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(X \times \mathbb{R}^d), P)).$$

By Corollary 5 and exactness of T , it suffices to show that π is relatively exact. To do this, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x, y)p(y)dy &= 0 \text{ a.e.} \implies \\ \int_{X \times \mathbb{R}^d} |P_{T_\phi^n, P}f|dP &= \int_{X \times \mathbb{R}^d} |P_{T_\phi^n}(f \cdot 1 \otimes p)|d(m \times m_{\mathbb{R}^d}) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$; equivalently (taking $F(x, y) := f(x, y)p(y)$),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(x, y)dy = 0 \text{ a.e.} \implies \int_{X \times \mathbb{R}^d} |P_{T_\phi^n}F|d(m \times m_{\mathbb{R}^d}) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

To prove (3), we first claim that

¶1 for $\lambda > 1$, $h \in L^1(m)$ and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\|P_{T_\phi^{n_k}}(h \otimes f)\|_1 \leq C\lambda^{\frac{n_k d}{2}}\|P_{T_\phi^{n_k}}(h \otimes f)\|_2 + o(1)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ where $C = 2^{\frac{d}{2}}m(B(0, 1))$ and $\frac{\phi_{n_k}}{\lambda^{n_k}} \rightarrow 0$ a.e..

PROOF. As can be checked,

$$P_{T_\phi^n}(h \otimes f)(x, y) = P_{T^n}(h(\cdot)f(y - \phi_n(\cdot)))(x) \quad (h \in L^1(m), f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Denoting $E(H) := \int_X Hdm$ for $H \in L^1(m)$, we have

$$\|P_{T_\phi^{n_k}}(h \otimes f)\|_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |E(P_{T^{n_k}}(h(\cdot)f(y - \phi_{n_k}(\cdot))))|dy \leq \int_{|y| \leq 2\lambda^{n_k}} + \int_{|y| > 2\lambda^{n_k}} \cdot \tag{4}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\int_{|y| \leq 2\lambda^{n_k}} \leq \sqrt{m_{\mathbb{R}^d}(B(0, 2\lambda^{n_k}))} \|P_{T_\phi^{n_k}}(h \otimes f)\|_2 = C\lambda^{\frac{n_k d}{2}} \|P_{T_\phi^{n_k}}(h \otimes f)\|_2 \quad (5)$$

whereas

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y| > 2\lambda^{n_k}} &\leq \int_{|y| > 2\lambda^{n_k}} |E(P_{T^{n_k}}(h(\cdot)f(y - \phi_{n_k}(\cdot))1_{[|\phi_{n_k}(\cdot)| \leq \lambda^{n_k}]})|dy \\ &+ \int_{|y| > 2\lambda^{n_k}} |E(P_{T^{n_k}}(h(\cdot)f(y - \phi_{n_k}(\cdot))1_{[|\phi_{n_k}(\cdot)| > \lambda^{n_k}]})|dy = I + II. \end{aligned}$$

Here as $k \rightarrow \infty$:

$$II \leq \|f\|_1 E(|h|1_{[|\phi_{n_k}(\cdot)| > \lambda^{n_k}]}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (6)$$

since $\frac{\phi_{n_k}}{\lambda^{n_k}} \rightarrow 0$ a.e.; and

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \int_{|y| > 2\lambda^{n_k}} E(|h||f(y - \phi_{n_k})|1_{[|\phi_{n_k}(\cdot)| \leq \lambda^{n_k}]})dy \\ &= E\left(|h|1_{[|\phi_{n_k}| \leq \lambda^{n_k}]} \int_{|y| > 2\lambda^{n_k}} |f(y - \phi_{n_k})|dy\right) \\ &\leq E(|h|) \int_{|y| > \lambda^{n_k}} |f(y)|dy \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Substituting (5),(6) and (7) into (4) proves \blacksquare .

To complete the proof of (3), let $F \in L^1(m \times m_{\mathbb{R}^d})$ satisfy $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(x, y)dy = 0$ for m -a.e. $x \in X$ and fix $\epsilon > 0$. We show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{X \times \mathbb{R}^d} |P_{T_\phi^n} F| d(m \times m_{\mathbb{R}^d}) < \epsilon. \quad (8)$$

Standard approximation techniques show that $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, h_1, \dots, h_N \in L, g_1, \dots, g_N \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_k(y)dy = 0$ ($1 \leq k \leq N$) and

$$\left\| F - \sum_{k=1}^N h_k \otimes g_k \right\|_{L^1(m \times m_{\mathbb{R}^d})} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Next, it follows from Theorems 1.6.3 and 1.6.4 in Rudin (1962) that $\exists f_1, \dots, f_N \in L^1 \cap L^2$ such that

- $[f_k \neq 0]$ is compact and bounded away from 0 ($1 \leq k \leq N$);
and

- $\|f_k - g_k\|_{L^1(m_{\mathbb{R}^d})} < \frac{\epsilon}{2N\|h_k\|_{L^1(m)}} \quad (1 \leq k \leq N),$
whence

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^N h_k \otimes f_k - \sum_{k=1}^N h_k \otimes g_k \right\|_{L^1(m \times m_{\mathbb{R}^d})} \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \|h_k\|_{L^1(m)} \cdot \|f_k - g_k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

$$\left\| F - \sum_{k=1}^N h_k \otimes f_k \right\|_{L^1(m \times m_{\mathbb{R}^d})} < \epsilon$$

where $h \in L$ and $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$ is such that $[\hat{f} \neq 0]$ is compact and bounded away from 0.

We claim

¶2 If $h \in L$ and $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$ is such that $[\hat{f} \neq 0]$ is compact and bounded away from 0, then $\exists 0 < \rho < 1$ such that

$$\|P_{T_\phi^n}(h \otimes f)\|_2 = O(\rho^n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{9}$$

PROOF. Let $[\hat{f} \neq 0] \subset B(0, M) \setminus B(0, \delta)$. By (iii) (see the introduction), $\exists K > 0, 0 < \rho < 1$ such that

$$|P_\gamma^n h(x)| \leq K\rho^n \quad \forall x \in X, n \geq 1, \delta \leq |\gamma| \leq M,$$

whence using the fact that the Fourier transform of $y \mapsto P_{T_\phi^n}(h \otimes f)(x, y)$ is $\gamma \mapsto \hat{f}(\gamma)P_\gamma^n h(x)$ and Plancherel's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{T_\phi^n}(h \otimes f)\|_2^2 &= \int_X \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |P_{T_\phi^n}(h \otimes f)(x, y)|^2 dy \right) dm(x) \\ &= \int_X \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\gamma)|^2 |P_\gamma^n h(x)|^2 d\gamma \right) dm(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\gamma)|^2 \|P_\gamma^n h\|_2^2 d\gamma \leq K^2 \rho^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\gamma)|^2 d\gamma \end{aligned}$$

proving ¶2. □

To finish the proof of Theorem 2, we claim

¶3 if (9) holds for $h \in L$ and $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$, then

$$\|P_{T_\phi^n}(h \otimes f)\|_1 \rightarrow 0. \tag{10}$$

PROOF. Fix $\lambda > 1$ such that $\lambda^{\frac{d}{2}}\rho < 1$. Suppose that $\frac{\phi_{n_k}}{\lambda^{n_k}} \rightarrow 0$ a.e.. Using (9), we have by ¶1,

$$\|P_{T_\phi^{n_k}}(h \otimes f)\|_1 \leq C\lambda^{\frac{n_k d}{2}} \|P_{T_\phi^{n_k}}(h \otimes f)\|_2 + o(1) = O(\lambda^{\frac{n_k d}{2}} \rho^{n_k}) + o(1) \rightarrow 0$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$; establishing (10) since $\|P_{T_\phi^n}(h \otimes f)\|_1 \downarrow$. □

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

References

- AARONSON, J. (1997). *An Introduction to Infinite Ergodic Theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **50**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, U.S.A.
- AARONSON, J. AND DENKER, M. (1996). Local limit theorems for Gibbs-Markov maps, Preprint: <http://www.math.tau.ac.il/~aaro>.
- AARONSON, J., DENKER, M. AND URBAŃSKI, M. (1993). Ergodic theory for Markov fibred systems and parabolic rational maps, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **337**, 495–548.
- ADLER, R. (1973). F -expansions revisited, In: *Recent advances in topological dynamics*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **318**, A. Beck (ed.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1–5.
- BOWEN, R. (1973). Symbolic dynamics for hyperbolic flows, *Amer. J. Math.* **95**, 429–460.
- BOWEN, R. AND RUELLE, D. (1975). The ergodic theory of axiom A flows, *Inventiones Math.* **29**, 181–202.
- DERRIENNIC, Y. AND LIN, Y.M. (1984). Sur le comportement asymptotique des puissances de convolution d'une probabilité, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **20**, 127–132.
- DOEBLIN, W. AND FORTET, R. (1937). Sur des chaînes à liaison complètes, *Bull. Soc. Math. de France*, **65**, 132–148.
- GUIVARCH, Y. (1989). Propriétés ergodiques, en mesure infinie, de certains systèmes dynamiques fibrés, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems.* **9**, 433–453.
- IONESCU-TULCEA, C. AND MARINESCU, G. (1950). Théorie ergodique pour des classes d'opérations non complètement continues, *Ann. Math.* **47**, 140–147.
- LIN, M. (1971). Mixing for Markov operators, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* **19**, 231–242.
- MORITA, T. (1994) Local limit theorems and density of periodic points for Lasota-Yorke transformations, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **46**, 309–343.
- NAGAEV, S.V. (1957). Some limit theorems for stationary Markov chains, *Theor. Probab. Appl.* **2**, 378–406.
- RUDIN, W. (1962). *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- ROUSSEAU-EGELE, J. (1983). Un théorème de la limite locale pour une classe de transformations monotones et dilatantes par morceaux, *Ann. Probab.* **11**, 722–788.
- RYCHLIK, M. (1983). Bounded variation and invariant measures, *Studia Math.* **76**, 69–80.
- SCHMIDT, K. (1977). Cocycles of Ergodic Transformation Groups, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1**, MacMillan India.

JON AARONSON
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
 TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY
 69978 TEL AVIV, ISRAEL
 E-mail: aaro@math.tau.ac.il

MANFRED DENKER
 INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATISCHE STOCHASTIK
 UNIVERSITÄT GÖTTINGEN
 LOTZESTR. 13, 37083 GÖTTINGEN
 GERMANY
 E-mail: denker@math.uni-goettingen.de